

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-111-DJC
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	MIGUEL CAMARGO, JR., DATE: November 2, 2023	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on November 2, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until April 25.	
22	2024, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between November 2, 2023, and April 25, 2024, under Local	
23	Code T4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes approximately 4,761 pages of law enforcement reports, search warrant materials,	
27	financial records, and other evidence, including audio and video recordings, as well as the	
28		

voluminous downloaded contents of two seized cell phones. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review this discovery, conduct defense investigation, confer with her client regarding his options for responding to the charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 2, 2023 to April 25, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: October 27, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER
DAVID W. SPENCER
Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:23-cr-00111-DJC Document 30 Filed 10/30/23 Page 3 of 3

Dated: October 27, 2023 /s/ NOA OREN NOA OREN Counsel for Defendant MIGUEL CAMARGO, JR. **ORDER** IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 27th day of October, 2023. /s/ Daniel J. Calabretta THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE